FILED '25 MAR 7 AM 8:08 MDGA-COL

UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT	Fredgia	(olumb	us Divs	(6 V) -
ALALI JANAD,	Civil Action No.	W		,	
Petitioner.					
v.					
PAN BONDI, ATTORNEY			2.		
GENERAL; ALEJANDO MAJOKAS					
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY;					
U.S. ICE FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR FOR				146	
and WARDEN OF IMMIGRATION DETENTION FACILITY,	8 8		K		
Respondents.				ā	
	T HARRAS COL	DIIG			

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Petitioner, ALAG JAWAD, hereby petitions this Court for a writ of habeas corpus to remedy Petitioner's unlawful detention by Respondents. In support of this petition and complaint for injunctive relief, Petitioner alleges as follows:

CUSTODY

1. Petitioner is in the physical custody of Respondents and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"). Petitioner is detained at the

Stewart	Devate	ntion (Center	lamp1	Cin in
		Contrac	tual	agree	ment
with the	Departme	at of	ttonno	2/and	Security.

Petitioner is under the direct control of Respondents and their agents.

JURISDICTION

- 2. This action arises under the Constitution of the United States, and the Immigration and Nationality Act ("INA"), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq., as amended by the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 ("IIRIRA"), Pub. L. No. 104 208, 110 Stat. 1570, and the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241; art. I § 9, cl. 2 of the United States Constitution ("Suspension Clause"); and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as Petitioner is presently in custody under color of the authority of the United States, and such custody is in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. This Court may grant relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, 5 U.S.C. § 702, and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.
- 4. Petitioner has exhausted any and all administrative remedies to the extent required by law.

VENUE

Pursuant to <u>Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky</u>, 410 U.S.
 484, 493 - 500 (1973), venue lies in the United States District Court for the

) eov di Communication district in which Petitioner
resides.
PARTIES
6. Petitioner is a native and citizen of Syria. Petitioner was first taken into ICE custody on Olivia 2624, and has remained in ICE
first taken into ICE custody on OI 11 2624 and has remained in ICE
custody continuously since that date. Petitioner was ordered removed on
1205 170 170
7. Respondent Pam BON DIO Merri ele Garland is the Attorney General of the
United States and is responsible for the administration of ICE and the
implementation and enforcement of the Immigration & Naturalization Act (INA).
As such, Pra Borch has ultimate custodial authority over Petitioner.
8. Respondent Alexandro N. Mayor W is the Secretary of the
Department of Homeland Security. He is responsible for the administration of ICE and the implementation and enforcement of the INA. As such Alexandre No. 100 is
and the implementation and the
The American of Potitioner
9. Respondent Kussell Washburn is the Field Office Director of the
9. Respondent 10 Field Office of ICE and is Petitioner's immediate custodian.
See Vásquez v. Reno, 233 F.3d 688, 690 (1st Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 122 S. Ct. 43
(2001).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Petitioner, ALALI JAWAD, is a native and citizen of
11. Petitioner, The state of th
Syrica Petitioner has been in ICE custody since 1111 2034
An Immigration Judge ordered the Petitioner removed on US 37 2024
An interior of the state of the
I have No Crimal Charges.
12 Patitioner has Cooperated fully
with all efforts By ICE for
More than Six Months Since
his removal deportation Exclusion
Order became final.
13. Petitioner has Cooperated
fully with all efforts by TOE to
Remove petitioner from the
United States.
14. To date however, ICE has been
unable to Remove petitioner to Syria
oxany other country. 14 18
and the same of th

15. To date,	however, ICE has been unable to remove Petitioner to
Syria	or any other country.
a fina	1 order of removal
In the Contract	skyed by an Immigration
Judge.	Petitioners 180 day Custod
ROLLOW.	by the Department of
Homelo	and Security Headquarters
poct-pol	ler Detention Unit in washinton or
was no	t. Conducted on or about
16 Petition	ter has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him
16 Petition	ter has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him
16. Petition from the United S	ter has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him tates. If Released pet from
16. Petition from the United S	ter has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him tates. If Released pet from
16. Petition from the United S	ter has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him tates. If Released petitions
16. Petition from the United S	ter has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him tates. If Released pet from
16. Petition from the United S	ter has cooperated fully with all efforts by ICE to remove him tates. If Released pet from

18. On Di 11 2024, Petitioner was served with a notice
transferring authority over his/her custody status to ICE Headquarters Post-Order
Detention Unit ("HQPDU").
LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR RELIEF SOUGHT

19. In Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001), the Supreme Court held that six months is the presumptively reasonable period during which ICE may detain aliens in order to effectuate their removal. Id. at 702. In Clark v. Martinez, 543 U.S. 371 (2005), the Supreme Court held that its ruling in Zadvydas applies equally to inadmissible aliens. Department of Homeland Security administrative regulations also recognize that the HQPDU has a six-month period for determining whether there is a significant likelihood of an alien's removal in the reasonably

foreseeable future. 8 C.F.R. § 241.13(b)(2)(ii). Ol 30 2024

20. Petitioner was ordered removed on and the removal order became final on 0508 2024. Therefore, the six-month presumptively Therefore, the six-month presumptively reasonable removal period for Petitioner ended on

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT ONE

STATUTORY VIOLATION

- 21. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. Petitioner's continued detention by Respondents is unlawful and contravenes 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(6) as interpreted by the Supreme Court in Zadvydas. The six-month presumptively reasonable period for removal efforts has expired. Petitioner still has not been removed, and Petitioner continues to languish in detention. Petitioner's removal to ________ or any other country is not significantly likely to occur in the reasonably foreseeable future. The Supreme Court held in Zadvydas and Martinez that ICE's continued detention of someone like Petitioner under such circumstances is unlawful.

COUNT TWO

SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS VIOLATION

- 23. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 24. Petitioner's continued detention violates Petitioner's right to substantive due process through a deprivation of the core liberty interest in freedom from bodily restraint.
- 25. The Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment requires that the deprivation of Petitioner's liberty be narrowly tailored to serve a compelling

government interest. While Respondents would have an interest in detaining Petitioner in order to effectuate removal, that interest does not justify the indefinite detention of Petitioner, who is not significantly likely to be removed in the reasonably foreseeable future. Zadvydas recognized that ICE may continue to detain aliens only for a period reasonably necessary to secure the alien's removal. The presumptively reasonable period during which ICE may detain an alien is only six months. Petitioner has already been detained in excess of six months and Petitioner's removal is not significantly likely to occur in the reasonably foreseeable future.

COUNT THREE

PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS VIOLATION

- 26. Petitioner re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 above.
- 27. Under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, an alien is entitled to a timely and meaningful opportunity to demonstrate that s/he should not be detained. Petitioner in this case has been denied that opportunity. ICE does not make decisions concerning aliens' custody status in a neutral and impartial manner. The failure of Respondents to provide a neutral decision-maker to review the continued custody of Petitioner violates Petitioner's right to procedural due process. has no significant like hood that Petitioner removal will occur in the reasonable fore seeable Future petitioner does not pose a danger to the community or Risk to Blight and No Special Circumsattles exist to Justify his continued

© 2008 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement,

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Court grant the following relief:

- 1) Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- Grant Petitioner a writ of habeas corpus directing the Respondents to immediately release Petitioner from custody;
- Enter preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Respondents from further unlawful detention of Petitioner;
- 4) Award Petitioner attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), as amended, 5 U.S.C. § 504 and 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and on any other basis justified under law; and
- 5) Grant any other and further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

I affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

