2

1

456

8 9

10

7

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

The Ninth Circuit has held that Rule 41(a)(2) permits dismissal of an entire action by court order upon such terms and conditions as the court deems proper. See Hells Canyon Preservation Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 687 (9th Cir. 2005).

First, Petitioner respectfully submits that he did not timely oppose Respondent's motion to dismiss due to difficulties in obtaining rebuttal medical records. Courts have recognized that equitable considerations, such as a party's inability to obtain key evidence, may support a request for voluntary dismissal. *See Smith v. Lenches*, 263 F.3d 972, 975 (9th Cir. 2001) (noting courts may consider prejudice to defendant, extent of diligence, and reasons for delay).

Second, Petitioner has recently become aware of additional grounds for habeas relief based on findings in an Immigration Judge's order dated May 29, 2025. Where a party seeks dismissal in good faith to pursue newly arisen legal claims, courts have allowed Rule 41(a)(2) motions. See Westlands Water Dist. v. United States, 100 F.3d 94, 97 (9th Cir. 1996) (recognizing that Rule 41(a)(2) motions should be granted unless defendant will suffer legal prejudice).

Finally, Petitioner respectfully requests expedited consideration of this motion pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(i), because the deadline to object to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal is June 4, 2025. LCR 7(i) provides that a motion may be noted for earlier consideration where expedited relief is warranted.

*Respondents have indicated that they do not oppose the granting of this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

GIBBS HOUSTON PAUW LLP

LAW OFFICE OF JUDITH L. WOOD

By: /s/ [Adam Boyd]

Adam W. Boyd, Esq. Counsel for Petitioner

By: /s/ [Judith Wood]

Judith L. Wood, Esq. Counsel for Petitioner

*Pursuant to the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court of the Western District of Washington, the undersigned hereby certify that the above memorandum contains 322 words.

DECLARATION OF JUDITH L. WOOD

I, Judith L. Wood, hereby declare as follows:

- I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law by the New Mexico Supreme

 Court and co-counsel for Petitioner Oganes Doganyan in this action. I have personal knowledge
 of the facts set forth herein and if called I could and would competently testify thereto. I give
 this declaration in support of Petitioner's Motion for Voluntary Dismissal Without Prejudice.
- 2. Petitioner has recently become aware of additional grounds for habeas relief based on findings in an Immigration Judge's order dated May 29, 2025, in which it was found that Petitioner is a stateless individual. As such, there are no grounds for Petitioner's removal from the United States as Petitioner cannot be removed to the Armenia, of which he is not a citizen.
- 3. On June 3, 2025, my office contacted counsel for Respondents, Ms. Michelle R. Lambert, Assistant United States Attorney, via electronic mail regarding this intended motion. Respondents' counsel indicated they had no objection to this motion. A true and correct copy of the pertinent email correspondence between my office and Respondents' counsel is attached as **Exhibit 1**.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States. Executed this date of June 3, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ [Judith L. Wood]

Judith L. Wood Declarant